

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/661,584 09/15/2003 Kazuo Hiraoka 2003-1321 8046 513 06/23/2004 EXAMINER WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. SHAW, CLIFFORD C 2033 K STREET N. W. ART UNIT SUITE 800 PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021 1725

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/661,584	HIRAOKA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Clifford C Shaw	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON!	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commu ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s)	 Π •		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0915</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	r (PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152))

Detailed Action

1.) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2.) Claims 1, 2, 4, 5/(1, 2, 4), and 11/5/(1, 2, 4) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arikawa et al.(3,679,866, cited by applicant). The patent to Arikawa et al. discloses the subject matter claimed in either one of Examples III or IV at columns 10 through 11. Note that in either of these examples, a narrow groove of 8 mm is welded with a pulsed D.C. current applied to a consumable arcing electrode. The pulsed D.C. current will inherently increase and decrease the welding rate in the manner claimed.
- 3.) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4.) Claims 7-11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arikawa et al. (3,679,866, cited by applicant). Example III in Arikawa et al. discloses the subject matter claimed except for the particular grain size and heat affected zone parameters.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,584

Art Unit: 1725

These differences do not patentably distinguish over the prior art. At the time applicant's invention was made, it would have been obvious to have practiced the method of example III of Arikawa et al. with any particular type of steel, including that with the claimed grain size parameters, the motivation being to implement a broad teaching of Arikawa et al. (weld high strength steel) with particular known instantiations of that teaching. In regard to the claimed heat affected zone parameters, it is considered obvious that the welded product of Arikawa et al. will have the heat affected zone parameters corresponding to those claimed since the method of Arikawa et al. is essentially the same as the method set forth by applicant and it would be expected to yield the same results.

5.) Claims 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arikawa et al. (3,679,866, cited by applicant) as applied to claims 7-11 and 13-15 above, and further in view of Inagaki et al. (3,582,607, cited by applicant). The only aspect of the claims to which the rejection above does not apply is the provision for a Uranami welding operation. This difference does not distinguish over the prior art. The patent to Inagaki et al. discusses Uranami welding at its column 1, lines 54-61. On the basis of this discussion, Uranami welding is concerned with welding the first bead in a multiplayer weld. At the time applicant's invention was made, it would have been obvious to have used any well known multi-layer welding refinements for the multi-layer welding taught by Arikawa et al.. In particular, it would have been obvious to have used Uranami welding, the motivation being to secure the advantages of the same for the Uranami bead in Arikawa et al., thereby satisfying the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,584

Art Unit: 1725

- 6.) Claims 3 and 5/3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arikawa et al. (3,679,866, cited by applicant) as applied to claim s 1, 2, 4, 5/(2,4), and 11/5/(2,4) above, and further in view of Normando (3,382,345, cited by applicant). It would have been obvious to have employed alternating polarity current in Arikawa et al. to accomplish the periodic heating desired, the motivation being the teachings of Normando that such is advantageous (see column 1, line 57 through column 2, line 8 in Normando), thereby satisfying the claims.
- 7.) This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/167,593. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1725

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Clifford C Shaw at telephone number 571-272-1182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday of the first week of the pay period and on Tuesday through Friday of the second week of the pay period.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas G. Dunn, can be reached at 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clifford C Shaw Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

June 21, 2004